

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7569 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2025 Thana- Kotwali District- Darbhanga

1. Sanjay Kumar @ Sanjay Mahto Son of Ayodhya Mahto Resident of Mohalla- Museum Gumti (Station Road), P.S.- Kotwali, District- Darbhanga
2. Vishnu Kumar @ Vishnu Mahto Son of Ayodhya Mahto Resident of Mohalla- Museum Gumti (Station Road), P.S.- Kotwali, District- Darbhanga
3. Pawan Kumar @ Pawan Mahto Son of Ayodhya Mahto Resident of Mohalla- Museum Gumti (Station Road), P.S.- Kotwali, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Virendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The present criminal miscellaneous application has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as ‘the BNSS, 2023’) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners who apprehend arrest in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 80 of 2025, lodged on 01.09.2025, under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/ 118(1) /110 /74 /352 /351(2) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against six accused persons including the present petitioner. Allegation



against the accused persons that they came at the house of the informant and started abusing the informant and demanded rupees four lakhs ransom. On protest they assaulted the informant with iron rod, dagger, farsa and lathi on his head due to which the informant sustained head injury.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. He submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioners; rather allegations are general and omnibus. Counsel submits that antecedent of the petitioners are clean and they are ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon them.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners and submits that case-diary and injury report have been received.

6. Upon perusal of the injury report it transpires that the informant sustained multiple lacerated wound over left frontal region, right parietal with left parietal region of scalp.

7. Considering direct involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence and nature of injury, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners is hereby rejected. However, in the event of surrender of the petitioners within four weeks from



today, the prayer for regular bail shall be considered on the same
day without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

