

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7712 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-437 Year-2019 Thana- TURKAULIYA District- East
Champaran

=====

Ravindra Rai @ Ravindra Kumar @ Ravindra Kumar Yadav S/o Chunni Ray
@ Rupnarayan Yadav @ Tunni Ray R/o Village - Jagiraha (Chargaha), P.S -
Turkauliya, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Harsha Shashwat, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard Mr. Abhishek Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Harendra Prasad, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 437 of 2019 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 341, 324,
307, 354, 379, 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
petitioner, along with ten other persons being armed with arms,
arrived. It is alleged that one Birendra Rai caught the brother of
the informant, and Shambhu Rai ordered, and on his order,
Sadhu Yadav fired, but the gunshot did not hit Uma Shankar. It



is further alleged that all the accused persons assaulted.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. There is a direct allegation against the co-accused person, namely, Sadhu Rai, who has already been granted bail by the learned coordinate bench of this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 60100 of 2019. He further submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner. He is only a member of the mob. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 26.11.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that petitioner is having criminal antecedent of one case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with the condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall remain physically present in the learned trial court, whenever required.** The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs.



ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 437 of 2019.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

