

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6915 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2025 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

=====

Seema Devi Wife of Late Dharmendra Paswan Resident of Village- Faridpur
Bhaghakol, P.S.- Bikram, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. P.N.Shahi, Sr.Advocate
	:	Mr.Lokesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2026 Heard Mr. P.N.Shahi, learned senior counsel

appearing for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant

and Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in
connection with Bikram P.S.Case No.240 of 2025,FIR dated
10.06.2025 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 103(1),61(2),3(5) of BNS,2023 and Section 27 of
Arms Act.

3. As per FIR, petitioner is a main conspirator of
commission of murder of Sonu Kumar and Raushan Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



the petitioner has clean antecedent. She has falsely been implicated in the present case. Further submits that from a bare perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of any assault, overt-act or firing attributed against the petitioner rather allegation against the petitioner is that he conspired the present case and due to her activity the present occurrence has taken place. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact the petitioner has lodged the Bikram P.S.Case No. 313 of 2022 against the informant and her family members for murder of her husband and for that reason the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case, apart from aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and petitioner has clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten



Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-VI or the concerned Judicial Magistrate, Danapur, Patna in connection with Bikram P.S.Case No.240 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned



order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of
verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

