

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8147 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-602 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

=====

Fultun Ravidas @ Fultun Das @ Phultun Ravidas Son of Rajendra Ravidas @
Rajendra Das Resident of Village- Chainpura (Vijay Nagar, Musahari), P.S.-
Warisaliganj, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Deepak Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bharat Lal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Warsaliganj P.S. Case no.602 of 2025 registered under sections 303(2), 318(2), 318(4), 336(2), 338, 340(2) and 61(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and sections 66, 66(B), 66(C) and 66(D) of the I.T. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that having received information about the persons being engaged in Cyber fraud, a raid was conducted from where two persons including the petitioner herein were caught. On enquiry they disclosed that they were engaged in Cyber fraud.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. Referring to



the order of the learned trial Court wherein the material that has transpired in course of investigation has been dealt with, it is submitted that no incriminating article has been recovered from the petitioner's possession except for vague allegations being made about some messages sent to customers and customer data-sheet being recovered from their phones. It is further submitted that the evidence, if any, being documentary/electronic in nature is already in possession of the investigating authorities. The petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2025 and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations in the FIR, the material that has transpired in course of investigation as is evident from the order of the learned trial Court, the petitioner having remained in custody since 22.11.2025 and not having any criminal antecedent, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Warsaliganj P.S. Case no.602 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



the learned A.C.J.M-IV, Nawada on the following condition :

(I) In case the statement with respect to the petitioner not having any criminal antecedent is found to be incorrect, the prosecution will have the liberty to move this Court for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

