

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6100 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-430 Year-2025 Thana- KHAJEKALA District- Patna

Raushan Kumar, S/o- Shailendra Prasad, Resident of Village- Raghapur P.S.-
Rustampur. District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Advocate Mr. Niranjan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Khajekala P.S. Case no.430 of 2025, registered under section 310(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the two accused persons on the point of pistol committed robbery in the house of the informant. They took away various articles including the hard-disk of the CCTV camera, mobile phone etc.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the F.I.R. was registered against six unknown. The name of the petitioner transpired in course of investigation. Referring to the order of the learned trial Court it is submitted that the petitioner



was falsely implicated in the case in the confessional statement of co-accused Md. Raja made before police wherein he has stated that the petitioner participated in the occurrence. The contents of the order further states that on a raid being conducted subsequent to the said confessional statement, the petitioner along with others were caught and from the possession of the petitioner Rs.15000/- in cash, a mobile phone and a live cartridge was recovered. The petitioner is in custody since 18.10.2025 and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case. It is further submitted that the said mobile phone belongs to the petitioner himself and it has not been put on T.I. Parade. The petitioner undertakes to cooperate in the investigation/trial.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations in the F.I.R., the material that has transpired in course of investigation as discussed in detail in the order of the learned trial Court, the petitioner having remained in custody since 18.10.2025 and charge-sheet having been submitted in the case, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Khajekala P.S. Case no.430 of 2025, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist
Class, Masaurhi, Patna.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

