

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7542 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-654 Year-2024 Thana- CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- Saran

1. Shailesh Manjhi S/O Bhagwan Manjhi R/O Village- Pirauna, P.S.- Chapra Garkha, District- Saran
2. Ramakant Manjhi S/O Late Hikayat Manjhi R/o Village- Mehiyan, P.S.- Chapra Muffasil, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Harish Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Asha Kumari, aPP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard Mr. Harish Kumar, learned Counsel for the
petitioners and Mrs. Asha Kumari, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 654 of 2024 for the offence registered under sections 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of BNS and 25(1-b)a, 26, 27 and 35 of Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that the accused persons used to threaten her of dire consequences if the earlier case lodged is not withdrawn. Later, allegation is that Anup Kumar along with other associates including these petitioners killed her son on Ara-Mohania four lane. The allegation is that these petitioners also gave shelter to the killers of her son. This led to the FIR.



4. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submit that the lady is not an eye-witness to the occurrence, only due to earlier enmity, they have been implicated and has taken this Court to paragraph 113 to show that the tower location of these petitioners was not at the place where the son of the lady, Raunak Kumar was killed.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail submitting that allegation of shelter is there.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties as also the paragraph 113 of the case diary that has been pointed by the petitioner, an undertaking has been given that they shall be diligently appearing in trial and in no way, will try to derail the trial, in that background, this Court is inclined to grant them the anticipatory bail with conditions.

7. Let the petitioners in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order, shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Saran, Chapra in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 654 of 2024 subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(i) one of the bailor should be the family members/relatives of the petitioners, who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card, PAN Card, Driving License, Voter ID) to



show his *bona fide*;

(ii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial court itself;

(iii) the petitioners shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for next six months to mark attendance and at the end of the period, the certificate be submitted to the Court;

(iv) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

