

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6747 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- Rampur Chauram District- Arwal

1. Ugendra Kumar @ Ugendra Singh, aged about- 30 years, Gender-Male, Son of Bindeshwar Singh
2. Babita Kumari @ Babita Devi, Aged about- 35 years, Daughter of Bindeshwar Singh
Both Residents of Village- Dariyapur, P.S.- Rampur Chauram, District- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saroj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 22-04-2026 Heard Mr. Saroj Kumar Sharma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Rampur Chauram P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 115(2), 109, 117(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 351(3) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioners along with other co-accused persons, had allegedly assaulted the informant and his son causing injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. There is case and counter case between the parties. Both the parties were indulged into free fight and the petitioners, in their self defence, may have caused injury to the persons of the informant, without intention. Injuries sustained by the informant and his son have been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that there is case and counter case between the parties. Both the parties were indulged into free fight and the petitioners, in their self defence, may have caused injury to the persons of the informant, without intention. Injuries sustained by the informant and his son have been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or



surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Rampur Chauram P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

