

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5544 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-400 Year-2025 Thana- AKHODHIGOLA District- Rohtas

Guddu kumar Son of Janeshwar Singh Resident of Village- Bagen, Ps-
Akodhigola, Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Kant, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-01-2026 Heard Mr. Ravi Kant, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Shailendra Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
01.11.2025 in connection with Akodhigola P.S. Case No. 400 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 11.10.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 109, 308(2), 308(3), 352,
351(2) of the B.N.S. 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act,
1959.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner and other
accused persons are alleged to have surrounded the informant,
abused him and shot fire on him due to which the informant
became unconscious.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submits that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. It appears from the FIR that there is no specific allegation of any assault, overt act or firing attributed against the petitioner. The specific allegation of firing is attributed against co-accused persons, namely, Saroj and Dhiraj and at best, the petitioner is a member of mob and the petitioner is in custody since 01.11.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR and apart from that the petitioner carries two more cases other than the present one but fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in one case and one case is pending for consideration before the competent court of law.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and also the fact that there is no specific allegation of any assault, overt act or firing attributed against the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dehri, Rohtas in connection



with Akodhigola P.S. Case No. 400 of 2025, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

