

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8733 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2025 Thana- THAKURGANJ District- Kishanganj

=====

Zeenat Perween @ Zeenat Praween W/o Mohd. Tamanna Alam Ansari and
D/o Sadik Ansari Resident of Tinpaniya Ward No. 05, Block Kasba, P.S.-
Kasba, District- Purnia

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shahid @ Md. Shahid Ansari S/o Late Sadique @ Md. Sadique Ansari
Resident of Village- Haidar Nagar, P.S.- Thakurganj, District- Kishanganj
3. Hassan @ Hasan Raza Ansari @ Hasan S/o Md. Shahid Ansari @ Shahid
Resident of Village- Haidar Nagar, P.S.- Thakurganj, District- Kishanganj
4. Nawab @ Nawab Alam S/o Abdul Hamid Resident of Village- Haidar
Nagar, P.S.- Thakurganj, District- Kishanganj

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhola Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

7 17-07-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been filed for cancellation
of regular bail granted to the opposite party Nos. 2 to 4 by order
dated 07.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 22371 of 2025.

3. This Court is of the view that the power to cancel bail
is distinct from the power to grant bail. Once liberty has been
conferred by a judicial order, cancellation cannot be sought
merely on the ground that another view on the merits is
possible. Unless there is cogent material demonstrating that the
accused has misused the liberty granted, attempted to influence



witnesses, tampered with evidence, violated the conditions of bail, or that subsequent circumstances warrant interference, the order granting bail ought not to be disturbed. These observations are consistent with the principles laid down by the Supreme Court of India in catena of judgments such as in the case of *Dolat Ram and others Vs. State of Haryana* reported in (1995) 1 SCC 349, *Abdul Basit @ Raju & Ors. Vs. Mohd. Abdul Kadir Chaudhary and Anr.* reported in (2014) 10 SCC 754 and *Jagjeet Singh and Others vs. Ashish Mishra @ Monu and Another* reported in (2022) 9 SCC 321.

4. In the absence of any supervening circumstance or compelling reason, this Court finds no justification to cancel the bail earlier granted. Accordingly, the application for cancellation of bail is dismissed.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

