

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8056 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-336 Year-2023 Thana- SARAI RANJAN District- Samastipur

Md. Arman @ Sams Tabrej S/O Md. Parvez R/O Village- Chandhour, Rahim
Tola, P.S- Ujiarpur, Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surya Narayan Roy, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 336 of 2023, lodged on 03.12.2023,
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
bail application of the petitioner has earlier been rejected twice
vide order dated 15.05.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 26685 of
2024 and *vide* order dated 19.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.
57454 of 2025. He further submits that in the first rejection
order, liberty was granted to renew his prayer for bail one year
after the framing of charge. In the second rejection order, liberty
was granted to renew his prayer for bail subject to apprising the
Court about the status of the pending cases against the



petitioner.

4. Counsel further submits that the petitioner has filed an application for information before the learned court below where the cases are pending, and information has been provided, which is annexed as Annexure-P/3 series. He submits that in all the cases, the report has come that the petitioner is on bail. He also submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 17.01.2024 and is ready to comply with any conditions that may be imposed.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that from the said annexure, it is not clear whether the petitioner is an absconder or not.

6. In light of the submissions made above and upon perusal of the report, it transpires to this Court that the petitioner is on bail in all cases. However, if a person has been granted bail, he may subsequently become an absconder, and from the report it is not clear whether he is absconding or not. Therefore, this Court, despite the pendency of this bail application, disposes off the same by directing the Trial Court to verify from its records, with supporting documents, whether the petitioner is absconding in the pending cases or not, which are mentioned below:-



- (i) Ujiarpur P.S. Case No. 268 of 2022
- (ii) Tajpur (Waini OP) P.S. Case No. 60 of 2022
- (iii) Tajpur (Waini OP) P.S. Case No. 144 of 2022
- (iv) Samastipur Muffasil P.S. Case No. 387 of 2022
- (v) Bibhutipur P.S. Case No. 394 of 2022
- (vi) Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 292 of 2022
- (vii) Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 346 of 2023
- (viii) Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 325 of 2023

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to produce a copy of the relevant records of those cases. Upon being satisfied, the Trial Court shall grant him bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1) (d) of the BNSS, 2023 to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Samastipur, in connection with Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 336 of 2023, subject to the following conditions as laid down under Section 480(3) of the BNSS.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

