

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7537 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saran

Suraj Kumar Son of Dinesh Rai @ Binesh Ray Resident of village -
Makshudpur, P.S.- Bheldi, Distt.- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. The Police Inspector Cum Officer in Charge Mahila P.S. Saran at Chapra Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 7, 111, 141, 143, 145, 98, 296, 3 (5) of the BNS and Sections 13 and 14 of the POCSO Act, Section 79 of the J.J. Act and Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the I.T.P. Act as also Section 16 of the Bounded Labour System Act, 1976.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the proprietor of Supriya Orchestra and that after raiding his house and two girls were recovered. It is further submitted that the girls allegedly disclosed that the petitioner had brought them for



dancing in the Orchestra. It is further alleged that they were being forced to perform in obscene video.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not the owner of the said Orchestra rather he is friend of the Proprietor of that Orchestra. It is further submitted that from perusal of the order passed by the learned trial Court, it is evident that the learned trial Court has recorded that the recovered girls have given their statement under Section 183 of the BNS wherein they have stated that they were working in the Orchestra. It is further submitted that proprietor of the said orchestra has already been granted bail by a bench of this Court vide order dated 16.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 85156 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on better footing. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 16.10.2025 having no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on



bail in connection with Saran Mahila P.S. Case No. 87 of 2025
(POCSO No. 87 of 2025) on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-
(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the
satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge, POCSO Saran
at Chapra.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

