

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6083 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2025 Thana- VIJAYEPUR District- Gopalganj

Chandan Prajapati @ Chandan Son of Balgobind Prajapati Resident of
Village- Karmchar, P.S.- Vijayipur, District- Gopalganj, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Nityanand Mishra, Advocate
		Ms. Diksha Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Lalan Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 87 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that this petitioner, along with co-accused Sumit Gond, took away the minor niece of informant to the house of co-accused Karim Ansari and thereafter, she went missing.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case



merely on suspicion. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along with co-accused Sumit Gond, took away minor niece of the informant to the house of co-accused Karim Ansari. During course of investigation, the victim was recovered and in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., she has supported the prosecution case and has clearly stated that this petitioner, along with co-accused Sumit Gond, took her to the house of co-accused Karim Ansari and thereafter, co-accused Karim Ansari forcibly solemnized marriage with her and established physical relations.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

