

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7486 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-505 Year-2025 Thana- JAKKANPUR District- Patna

=====

Ravi Ranjan Kumar S/o Rajkishor Prasad Resident of - Bhaisawan, P.S -
Masaurhi, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ajay Mistri S/o Ramji Mistri R/o Village - Mehndipur, P.S - Ghosi, District -
Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Aditi Medha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 21-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through virtual mode.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest
in connection with Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 505 of 2025
instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 65(1),
66/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under Sections 4
and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has
given his farbeyan stating that his daughter Neha Kumari aged
about 16 years left her house and did not come back. It is
alleged that the informant got a call from the PMCH, Patna
reporting that his daughter has died. It is further alleged that



when he reached PMCH, Patna he saw a boy standing there, who disclosed his name as Deepak Kumar, who disclosed that daughter of the informant died due to excessive bleeding.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has transpired during the course of investigation and the reference has been made in the supervision report where the name of the petitioner has first surfaced stating only that he had come to the hotel where the said Deepak Kumar had gone to take a room and he assisted in providing the room to Deepak Kumar. It has been submitted that there is no specific allegation of overt act against the petitioner even during the course of investigation and from the perusal of the post-mortem report, it would be evident that there are no external injuries which would go on to show that any force was used against the victim. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner carries clean antecedent.

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/O.P. No. 2 as well as the learned APP appearing on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and have submitted that the petitioner should not be released on bail especially for the fact that he was an accomplice of the main accused Deepak Kumar.



It has been submitted that the petitioner had also assisted the said Deepak Kumar in committing the crime against a minor child and, therefore, he should not be granted liberty of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts aforesaid and especially taking into account the fact that neither the informant nor during the course of investigation, no specific overt act has come against the petitioner, he is directed to be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Session Judge-6-cum-Spl. Judge-1, POCSO, Patna in connection with Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 505 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 of the BNSS and subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner and the other shall be a local resident;

(ii) the petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court and shall cooperate in the investigation;

(iii) in case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds



of the petitioner will liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned;
(iv) the Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of the same on in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

krishna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

