

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5004 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-99 Year-2025 Thana- Banshi District- Arwal

Sunny Kumar S/O Ramashish Singh @ Ramashish Yadav Resident of village
- Munga Bigha, P.S - Banshi, Dist.- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Usha Kumari W/O Noulesh Kumar Resident of village - Mangabigha, P.S -
Banshi, Dist.- Arwal

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amrendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, A.P.P

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Banshi P.S. Case No. 99 of 2025 lodged on 25.11.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 329(4), 352, 351(2) & 76 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the Court of C.J.M., Arwal.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against the sole petitioner with allegation that when the informant went for natural call at night, then the petitioner came and tried to outrage the modesty of the informant. Thereafter, the petitioner went to the house of the informant with iron rod and abused her and also threatened her. Upon oppose, the petitioner assaulted the informant and her father in law and mother in law.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that due to village politics, name of petitioner has figured in this case. Counsel further take defence by submitting that the informant is aged about 27 years and the petitioner is aged about 23 years, so it is unbelievable that a person who is 23 years old can attack a person who is 27 years old. Counsel further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the defence which has been taken by counsel for the petitioner is not plausible. From the record, it transpires that the point raised by the petitioner is not acceptable to this Court.

6. As such, in the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

