

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2962 of 2026

1. Renu Kumari wife of Binodanand Verma, resident of village and Post Nagdaha, Police Station Malahi, District East Champaran.
2. Raj Kishore Singh, son of Late Tapeswar Singh, resident of village and Post Parsurampur, Police Station Parsauni, District Sitamarhi.
3. Om Prakash Singh, son of Late Mahavir Singh, resident of village Masaha, Post Koiriya Pipra, Police Station Parihar, District Sitamarhi.
4. Vijay Kumar, son of Late Indrajeet Ray, resident of village Pakariya, Post Baburwan, Police Station Parihar, District Sitamarhi.
5. Nagendra Kumar, son of Ram Das Paswan, resident of village Nocha, Post Bela Muchpakauni, Police Station Bela, District Sitamarhi.
6. Subodh Kumar Jha, son of Late Mahanand Jha, resident of village and Post Mathura, Police Station Bidupur, District Vaishali.
7. Awadhesh Kumar Chaudhary, son of Satrugan Chaudhary, resident of village and post Harari Dularpur, Police Station Sursand, District Sitamarhi.
8. Ajay Kumar Singh, son of Uday Narayan Singh, resident of village and post Rupasbaj, Police Station Bachwara, District Begusarai.
9. Chitranjan Ray, son of Lakshmi Narayan Ray Ram Das Paswan, resident of village and post Rupasbaj, Police Station Bachwara, District Begusarai.
10. Ram Kumar Thakur, son of Vikash Narayan Thakur, resident of village Andauli, Post Abari, Police Station Madhwapur, District Madhubani.
11. Ram Pravesh Thakur, son of Ram Parikshan Thakur, resident of village and post Harari Dularpur, Police Station Sursand, District Sitamarhi.
12. Rakesh Kumar, son of Chandra Dev Sharma, resident of village Gopalpur, Police Station Amarpur, District Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. District Education Officer, East Champaran
5. District Education Officer, Saharsa
6. District Education Officer, West Champaran
7. District Education Officer, Nalanda
8. District Education Officer, Bhagalpur
9. District Education Officer, Khagariya
10. District Education Officer, Araria
11. District Education Officer, Banka

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :



For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prashant Kumar, AC to SC 5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date : 20-07-2026

Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, learned Advocate for the petitioners and Mr. Prashant Kumar, learned Advocate for the State.

2. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking a direction upon the concerned respondents to extend and grant similar benefits, including seniority, pay scale, salary, annual increment and ACPs, as have been extended to the other identically situated employees, who were appointed earlier pursuant to the same advertisement and panel.

3. The entire case of the petitioners' stands on parity with those of the petitioners of CWJC No. 15663 of 2022, which came to be disposed off on 08.12.2025. Though the State has filed a counter affidavit and while refuting the contention tried to differentiate the case of the petitioners, however, he shown his inability to confront that by the order of the co-ordinate Bench of this Court, identical matter has been disposed off with a liberty to the petitioners to file a representation before the concerned authorities for redressal of their grievances by canvassing their respective pleas and the factum of parity.

4. Having considered the limited grievance of the



petitioners, this Court also thinks it apt and proper to dispose off the writ petition with a liberty to the petitioners to file individual representation before the concerned District Education Officer of their respective areas, within four weeks from today along with the necessary documents and the order/judgment upon which they are placing their reliance and seeking parity.

5. On receipt of the same, the concerned District Education Officer shall consider the claim of the petitioners, in the light of the materials placed by the respective petitioners, as also the order passed by this Court in CWJC No. 15663 of 2022, preferably within a further period of eight weeks.

6. Suffice it to observe that if the case of the petitioners finds identical to those of the writ petitioners of CWJC No. 15663 of 2022, similar benefit must be accorded to them.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

(Harish Kumar, J)

sumit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	21.07.2026
Transmission Date	NA

