

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7924 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-551 Year-2025 Thana- DEHRI TOWN District- Rohtas

=====

Anish Saurabh S/o Rama Kant Singh @ Ramakant Yadav Resident of Village
- Muradabad (Lanji), P.S. - Sasaram (Mufassil), District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prasoon Shekhar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Dehri Town P.S. Case No. 551 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 20(b)(ii)(c), 25 and 29 of the NDPS Act.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that altogether 86.38 kg of *ganja* was recovered from a tractor and before that tractor a car was coming and from that car 6.29 kg *ganja* was recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. He also submits that the petitioner is neither owner nor driver rather he was a passenger in that car. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. He also submits that the alleged recovery of the contraband is though more than small quantity but is much less than commercial quantity. He further submits that the witnesses of the seizure list are police personnel and the police has not complied with Section 105 of the BNSS while making the seizure. He further submits that similarly situated other co-accused persons namely, Tunu Kumar and Shiv Kumar have already been granted bail by this court vide Cr. Misc. Nos. 7665 of 2026 and 5002 of 2026, respectively. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 17.12.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Dehri
(Town) P.S. Case No. 551 of 2020.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

