

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9478 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-37 Year-2011 Thana- CHERIYA BARIYARPUR District-
Begusarai

=====

Vijay Paswan @ Vijay S/o Bunilal Paswan @ Munna Paswan @ Munni
Paswan @ Munilal Paswan R/o vill - Peernagar @ Pernagar, P.s.- Nawkothi,
Distt.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-02-2026 Heard the parties.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Cheriya Bariyarpur P.S. Case No. 37 of 2011 registered for the offence under Sections 394, 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 16.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 16682 of 2025 which reads as under:-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

2. This application for grant of regular bail arises out of Bariyarpur P.S. Case No.37 of 2011 registered for the offence punishable under sections 394 and 302 of the Indian Penal Code and under section 27 of the Arms Act.

3 . The petitioner is accused of



committing murder of the husband of the informant.

4. The name of the petitioner has come on the confessional statement of co-accused. The petitioner was an absconder in this case, which is registered in the year 2011. He is in custody since 18.06.2024.

5. Considering the seriousness of the offence and the fact that the petitioner was an absconder, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this bail application is rejected. The trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is in custody since 18.06.2024 and till now charges have not been framed.

4. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court finds no ground to review its earlier order.

5. Accordingly, this application is dismissed again.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed again with direction to the concerned court below to expedite the trial and frame the charges at the earliest.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

