

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5299 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-153 Year-2025 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

-
1. Manoj Prasad @ Manoj Rai S/O Late Ramanand Rai R/O Vill.- Satjora Bazar, P.S.- Panapur, Dist.- Saran.
 2. Sonu Kumar Rai @ Sonu Kumar S/O Manoj Rai R/O Vill.- Satjora Bazar, P.S.- Panapur, Dist.- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Fahimuddin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2.The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 153 of 2025 instituted for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 118(1), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the petitioners along with other six persons have assaulted the informant with an iron pipe due to which he received head injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the FIR itself, it is clear that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. He also submits that from the order of the learned trial court, it is clear that the learned trial court has recorded that “from perusal of the injury report of informant, it transpires that a sharp wound on the mid skull on the left side of size 4”x1/2”x skin deep, pain in right side of abdomen & pain and swelling of right knee is caused”. He also submits that there is allegation that the informant was assaulted with pipe on the head which is hard and blunt substance whereas the injury is of sharp wound. This does not co-relate with the allegation. Other injuries are pain and swelling. Moreover, the nature of allegation is general and omnibus.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners and submits that the petitioner no. 1 namely, is having criminal antecedent of three cases whereas petitioner no. 2 is having criminal antecedent of four cases.

6. Regarding this, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the cases are between the parties.



7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 153 of 2025, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Saran at Chapra subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

