

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9025 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-81 Year-2025 Thana- Safiyasarai District- Munger

Praveen Kumar @ Pravin Yadav Son of Dashrath Yadav Resident of Village-
Prem Tola Farda, P.S.- Safiasarai, District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suman Kumar Mishra, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 22-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Safiasarai P.S. Case No. 81 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 193(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 132, 196, 109(1) and 285 of B.N.S. Act and 30/27 of Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, as per the informant is that on 27.09.2025 at about 12:05 PM, informant got a secret information that near petrol pump, some people are gathered illegally. Their planning is of creating obstacle in roadway and to disturb law and order. Accused persons are abusing and beating the passengers, drivers and other public who are going from that way. Accused persons are trying to



disturb the communal harmony of society. As per information Police team reached at that place and tried to make understand the public but people at place of occurrence were not ready to understand. The accused persons started abusing with police team. They tried to outbreak the religious violence between the two community. Then police took the action. To see the police action accused started to flee away here and there. Some incriminating articles were recovered and seized which are mentioned in seizure list. Accordingly, the FIR was registered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. He has falsely been implicated in the present case due to land dispute. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner. The recovery of arms is from the house of Jamal Mallik @ Bablu Mallik. He further submits that the petitioner has three criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. From the perusal of the FIR, it appears that the there is a land dispute relating to graveyard. People from both the communities assembled and created difficult situation for the police. Some of the accused persons were arrested while



others managed to escape which includes the petitioner. Later, house of Bablu Mallik was raided and arms recovered. Number of people have been roped in but in the FIR, no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner and co-accused Sittu Kumar, Mannu Yadav and Pandav Kumar have already been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 17.02.2026 passed in CR. Misc. No. 7108 of 2026. Considering all these aspects of the matter, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M, Munger in connection with Safiasarai P.S. Case No. 81 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and subject to the further condition that:-

(i) the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation/trial.

(ii) the learned Court would, however, verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case it is found that



the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the Court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, it is expected that the verification process would be done expeditiously without causing any delay.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

