

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3669 of 2019

-
-
1. Savita Sharma and Ors W/o Santosh Kumar Vill.- Pirauna, P.s.- Garkha, P.o.- Pirauna, Distt.- Saran (Chapra)
 2. Kumari Anjali W/o Dilip Lumar Vill.- Shanti Nagar, P.o.- Gurukul Mehain, P.s.- Chapra Muffasil, Distt.- Chapra
 3. Sarita Sharma D/o Ram Lal Sharma Vill.- Shanti Nagar, P.o.- Gurukul Mehain, P.s.- Chapra Muffasil, Distt.- Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors through the Principal Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director Primary Education, Bihar, Patna
3. The Divisional Commissioner Saran Division at Chapra
4. The District Magistrate Saran at Chapra
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chairman District Education Establishment Committee, Saran at Chapra
6. The District Superintendent of Education Saran at Chapra
7. The Block Development Officer Garkha, Saran
8. The Block Education Officer Garkha, Distt.- Saran
9. The Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat Pirauna Block Garkha, Distt.- Saran
10. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms Tikari Sub Division, Gaya, Bihar, Mukhia of Gram Panchayat Pirauna Block, Garkha, Distt.- Saran
11. The Member District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority Saran at Chapra

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, AC to AAG15)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

21 19-01-2026 Petitioners are not represented while learned AC to AAG-15 is present.

2. It is evident from the records that *vide* order dated 07.07.2015 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench in CWJC No. 6015 of 2015 (Md. Aquil v. The State of Bihar & Ors), it is apparent



that the rules based on which the advertisement in question is said to have been issued, has already gone under change and now the qualification and eligibility for appointment has also been modified in the existing rules. Taking note of such issue, the order so passed by the Co-ordinate Bench has been referred, which is reproduced as under:-

“This application is dismissed on the ground that no appointment can be made at this belated stage on the post which was advertised and exercise was completed in the year 2008. In addition to that since then rules have changed the qualification and eligibility for appointment has changed. Any appointment now will be governed by the present rules in play. Accordingly, the writ is dismissed.”

3. Be that as it may, considering the fact that the petitioner, on several dates and even today, has not appeared in the matter, the instant petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

