

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2036 of 2022

=====

Devendra Kumar Son of Late Kailash Prasad, Resident of Village - Sagarpur,
P.S. - Makhdumpur, District - Jehanabad, presently posted as Sub-Inspector of
Police in Rohtas District.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Home (Police) Department, Government of
Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Director General of Police, Headquarter, Home (Police)
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police, Police Headquarter, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Central Command, (Police
Headquarter), Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sheo Shankar Prasad (SC 8)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 20-01-2026

Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Learned
Counsel for the State.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the
present writ petition has been filed with the following reliefs:-

*i) For issuance of writ in the nature of
Mandamus or any other appropriate writ
commanding the respondents to promote and count
the seniority of the petitioner as Inspector of Police
with effect from 13.08.2013 and also consider his
case for promotion to the next higher post of
Deputy Superintendent of Police with effect from*



29.01.2019 when similarly situated and even his juniors were granted promotion on the said posts.

ii) For issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ commanding the respondents to grant all the consequential monetary and other benefits with statutory and panel interests which accrued to the petitioner on being promoted as Inspector and Deputy Superintendent of police at par with pay the arrear of differences of salary and additional allowance to the petitioner.

3. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner's representation is pending since 2017 before the Office of Director General of Police for his promotion and grant of ACP. He further submits that no order has been passed in his representation till date.

4. Counsel for the State, on the other hand, submits that from the pleading of the writ petition, it transpires that there is departmental proceeding pending against the petitioner.

5. In this regard, counsel for the petitioner submits that the said departmental proceeding has already been concluded.

6. In the light of the submissions made, this Court finds that no purpose shall be served keeping the present writ petition pending. As such, the present writ petition is hereby



disposed off directing the petitioner to file a fresh representation raising all his grievances before the the Director General of Police, Home (Police) Department (respondent No.2) within 30 days along with this order and the respondent No.2 shall pass a reasoned and speaking order within 90 days after receiving the same.

7. With the aforesaid directions and observations, the present writ application stands disposed off.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Prakashmani/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	21/01/2026
Transmission Date	NA

