

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1514 of 2026

Onkar Kumar S/o Sri Kamta Singh, R/o Village- Kazi Daulatpur, P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya. At present residing at MIG 128, Hanuman Nagar, behind Panitanki, Kankarbag, P.S.- Patrakarnagar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Tourism, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Environment and Forest, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman Nigam Ltd., through its Managing Director having its registered office at 7, Sardar Patel Marge, Patna, Bihar.
4. Archeological Survey of India, New Delhi, through its Directro, Block No- 703, Loknayak Jai Prakash Bhawan, Frezer Road, Patna.
5. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India through its Secretary having office at Indra Pariyaveran Bhawan, Jorbagh, New Delhi.
6. The State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Patna, Bihar, through its Member Secretary having office at 2nd Floor BELTRON, Baldev Bhawan Road, Shastri Nagar, Patna.
7. Damodar Ropeway and Infra Ltd., through its MD having its registered office at 1/A Vansittart Row, Damodar House, Kolkata, West Bengal.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh, Advocate Mr. Gyanendra Kumar Diwakar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, A.G.
For the U.O.I.	:	Dr. K.N. Singh, A.S.G.
For the S.E.I.A.A.	:	Mr. Kumar Ravish, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 23-02-2026

Heard Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh, learned counsel

for the petitioner, through video conferencing, as well as learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents and the learned
counsel for the State.



2. Learned counsel for the State submits that in C.W.J.C. No. 4079 of 2025, an identical prayer arising out of similar facts has already been considered and disposed of by this Court. It is contended that the issue raised in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the said order and, therefore, no further adjudication is required.

3. Having considered the submissions of the parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court finds that the relief sought in the present writ petition is similar to that in the aforesaid case. In view of the same, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sangam Kumar Sahoo, CJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

Neha/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	24.02.2026
Transmission Date	

