

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2739 of 2026

=====

Awdhesh Kumar Yadav son of Late Ramashish Thakur Yadav, resident of Village Chakmahila (Madhuban Road) ward No. 21, Police Station Bajpatti, District Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Pupari, District Sitamarhi.
4. The Anchal Adhikari, Bajpatti, District Sitamarhi.
5. Rita Devi, widow of Late Ashok Kumar Yadav, resident of Village Chakmahila, (Madhuban Road) Ward No. 21, Police Station Bajpatti, District Sitamarhi.
6. Praveen Kumar, son of Late Ashok Kumar Yadav, resident of Village Chakmahila, (Madhuban Road) Ward No. 21, Police Station Bajpatti, District Sitamarhi.
7. Pranav Kumar Yadav, son of Late Ashok Kumar Yadav, resident of Village Chakmahila, (Madhuban Road) Ward No. 21, Police Station Bajpatti, District Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhas Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : AC to Government Pleader (17)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2026 Heard Mr. Prabhas Ranjan, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned AC to

 Government Pleader (17) for the State.

2. The petitioner in paragraph no. 1 of the present writ

petition has sought inter alia the following relief(s), which is

reproduced hereinafter:-

*"(i) A Mandamus commanding the respondents
to measure the lands of the petitioner situate in village
Pachra Nimahi in the District of Sitamarhi (the details of
which is given in Para-4 of the petition) by the Anchal Amin*



at his cost and demarcate it.

(ii) Any other relief or reliefs for which petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the present case may be granted to him."

3. Mr. Prabhas Ranjan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner don't want to press the present writ petition in view of the fact that a direction has already been issued to the Circle Officer by the D.C.L.R. vide order dated 18.07.2024 passed in B.L.D.R. Case No. 95 of 2022 for demarcating the land in question. The petitioner has now been informed that he has to make requisition online along with the requisite fee.

4. In such circumstances, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to do needful for his relief as prayed for in the present writ petition.

5. It is made clear that the Circle Officer concerned, after submission of requisite fee and online requisition made by the petitioner, must not keep the application of the petitioner pending on any frivolous ground.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

