

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5185 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-672 Year-2025 Thana- NAGAR District- Vaishali

1. Harinandan Sah S/o Raghubir Sah Resident Of Village- Rambhadra, Ps- Hajipur Town, Dist- Vaishali
2. Parvati Devi @ Sumitra Devi W/o Harinandan Sah Resident Of Village- Rambhadra, Ps- Hajipur Town, Dist- Vaishali
3. Rajendra Sah S/o Harinandan Sah Resident Of Village- Rambhadra, Ps- Hajipur Town, Dist- Vaishali
4. Sangita Devi W/o Rajendra Sah Resident Of Village- Rambhadra, Ps- Hajipur Town, Dist- Vaishali
5. Ravindra Sah @ Ravindra Kumar @ Rabindra Sah S/o Harinandan Sah Resident Of Village- Rambhadra, Ps- Hajipur Town, Dist- Vaishali
6. Usha Kumari W/o Rabindra Sah @ Ravindra Sah @ Ravindra Kumar Resident Of Village- Rambhadra, Ps- Hajipur Town, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivjee Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 80, 3(5) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of the
daughter of informant was solemnized with co-accused Pappu
Sah three years ago. Thereafter, it is alleged that these



petitioners, along with other F.I.R. named accused persons, killed the daughter of informant by pressing her neck, due to non-fulfillment of demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners are in-laws of the deceased and they are simply victims of over-implications. Allegation against petitioners is general and omnibus. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and they are not concerned with family affairs of the deceased. Moreover, thrust of accusation is against husband of the deceased who is already in custody. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the fact that husband of the deceased is already in custody, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Hajipur Town P. S. Case



No. 672 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under
Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,
2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

