

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4727 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-412 Year-2005 Thana- LAKHISARAI District- Lakhisarai

Bahadur Yadav S/O Late Gago Yadav @ Late Gajo Yadav R/O Village-
Jhinaura, P.S- Tetarhat (Lakhisarai), Distt.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Prasad, Advocate

Mr. Brajesh Sahay, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323,
307, 386 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that he was intercepted by the accused persons and Chabila was
carrying gun who caught him, while petitioner put towel around
his neck and started dragging with an intent to abduct,
thereafter Chabila assaulted by butt of pistol on head and Madho
snatched a bag in which motor parts were kept and Chabila took
Rs. 2,000/- from his pocket.



4. Learned A.P.P. for the State, at this stage, submits that the case is of the year 2005 and the instant anticipatory bail application has been filed in the year 2026 and the petitioner has also been declared an absconder, as would manifest from the order impugned.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned APP for the State.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

