

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6280 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-308 Year-2022 Thana- PAKRIDAYAL District- East Champaran

Kamlesh Sahni S/O Ramugrah Sahni @ Ramugar Sahni R/O Vill.-
Bhagwanpur, P.S.- Pakaridayal, Dist.- East Champaran, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Harishankar Raj, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 120B, 201, 304B and 34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that marriage
of daughter of informant was solemnized with this petitioner on
18.06.2018 as per *Hindu* rites and rituals. It is alleged that after
marriage, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this
petitioner, started torturing and harassing the victim due to non-
fulfillment of demand of dowry and subsequently, killed her.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of the deceased. At no point of time, petitioner tortured or harassed the victim or demanded dowry. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner is in custody since 09.10.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation of that he, along with other accused persons, killed the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. Petitioner is husband of the deceased who died unnatural death at her matrimonial house within seven years of marriage.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 09.10.2025, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same, preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy



of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

