

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.294 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-300 Year-2025 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

-
-
1. Raghunath Mahton Son of Late Ram Mahto Resident of Village - Chilmil, Ward No.- 01, Police Station - Mufassil, District - Begusarai.
 2. Asha Devi Wife of Raghunath Mahton Resident of Village - Chilmil, Ward No.- 01, Police Station - Mufassil, District - Begusarai.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Chandan Choudhary Son of Late Jaynarayan Choudhary Resident of Sabant Moin Tola, Ward No.- 16, Police Station - Chaurahi, District - Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Sarvottam Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Ms.Usha Kumari 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 18-06-2026 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Spl. P.P. for the State, Ms. Usha Kumari No. 1.
- 2.** No one appears on behalf of the informant.
- 3.** This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 19.12.2025 passed by the learned Exclusive Special Judge S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, Begusarai in connection with Mufassil Thana P.S. Case No. 300 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of



BNS as well as Sections 3(1)(r)(s) of the SC/ST (PoA) Act.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants submits that appellants are person with clean antecedent and appellant No. 2 is a woman and informant alleges that Lalu abducted his daughter aged about 18 years from a rented house, accordingly on coming to know about the occurrence, the informant went to the house of Lalu where appellants along with two others abused by taking caste name and also assaulted.

5. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted Lalu and the victim were in love and they eloped. It is further submitted victim is a major and she on her own volition left with Lalu and appellants being parents of Lalu came to be implicated in the instant case with general and omnibus allegation of abuse and assault. It is next submitted even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting with respect to abuse then it is submitted that the abuse was not hurled in public view and allegation of assault is exaggerated. It is further submitted that victim presently is staying with the informant.

6. Learned Spl. P.P. for the State opposes the prayer



for anticipatory bail of the appellants.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the appellants above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

8. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

