

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11274 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-251 Year-2025 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

Md. Sakil Ansari @ Sakil Ansari son of Hanif Ansari Resident of village-
Dharampur, Ps- Mahaurah, Dist- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 24-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 118(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case but the said case was also
instituted from the side of the informant, in which, police
submitted final form, exonerating the petitioner of the
allegation. It is next submitted that Asgari Begum along with six
named accused persons had approached this court seeking
anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.72977 of 2025. It is
submitted that Asgari Begum, Danish Ali @ Md. Danish Ansari,



Wasim Alam @ Md. Wasim Ansari and Aftab Alam were granted the privilege of anticipatory bail, while Saib Ali @ Md. Saif Ali @ Shaif Ali and Sohrab Alam @ Saheb Hussain @ Md. Saheb Hussain Ansari were permitted to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to them, as would manifest from order dated 12.11.2025. It is next submitted that while granting the privilege of anticipatory bail to Asgari Begum and three others, the Court had considered the case on its merit and in detail. It is further submitted that as far as present petitioner is concerned, he is alleged to have assaulted the informant but then the injury suffered by the informant has been opined to be simple in nature.

4. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application but then the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant is not in a position to rebut the submissions of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that earlier case was instituted from the side of the informant against the petitioner and that informant in the instant case suffered simple injury.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or



surrender within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra in connection with Marhowrah P. S. Case No.251 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

