

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1019 of 2019

=====

Maya Devi Wife of Niranjan Prasad Gupta Resident of Village- Deo (Sitalal Gali), P.S. Deo, District- Aurangabad, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
3. Sub- Divisional Magistrate, Aurangabad.
4. Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Aurangabad.
5. Station House Officer, Deo, District- Aurangabad.
6. Anchal Adhikari, Deo, District- Aurangabad.
7. Randhir Singh Son of Late Jiv Rakhn Prasad Singh Resident of Village Bedhani, P.S. Deo, District- Aurangabad.
8. Surajmal Prasad Son of Late Janaki Sao Resident of Village Deo, P.S. Deo, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Sr. Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

6 03-02-2026 Heard Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, learned Senior counsel
for the petitioner and Mrs. Nutan Sahay, learned State Counsel.

2. The present application has been preferred:

*for issuance of an appropriate Writ or
Writs directing the respondent-authorities to
deliver the possession of the land in question in
favour of petitioner pursuant to the order dated
05.05.2017 passed by the Public Grievance
Redressal Officer, Aurangabad and further
respondent no. 6-Anchal Adhikari may be
directed to issue correction slip in favour of*



petitioner under the provisions of the Bihar Mutation Act, 2011 without further delay and/or to grant any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is legally entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. Though the affidavit have come on behalf of the Circle Officer, Deo as also the Collector, Aurangabad showing that they have taken appropriate steps.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if she is allowed to approach the appropriate authority along with this order so that they can take decision in the matter.

5. Learned State Counsel has no grievance.

6. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority along with all the orders that have come in her favour and if such petition is preferred, naturally, the same has to be taken to its logical conclusion after noticing/hearing all the concerned parties.

7. The writ petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

