

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6913 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-632 Year-2025 Thana- RAJGIR District- Nalanda

Chitranjan Paswan @ Chitranjan Kumar @ Chitranjan Prasad S/o Ratan
Paswan Resident of Village- Chandasi, P.S.- Noorsarai, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Satya Ranjan Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Shantanu Kumar, A.P.P.
For the Informant :	Mr. Amit Ranjan, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-03-2026 Heard Mr. Satya Ranjan Sinha, learned counsel
for the petitioner, Mr. Amit Ranjan, learned counsel for the
informant and Mr. Shantanu Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
11.11.2025 in connection with Rajgir P.S. Case No. 632 of 2025
for the offences punishable under Sections 140(2) and 309(4) of
BNS.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant
of this case Girja Devi on 7.11.2025 gave a statement before
Police Officer alleging therein that on 07.11.2025 at 02.25 PM
when she was returning from Aganbadhi Kendra and when she
reached near Hero Honda Showroom then a car came and made
her sit in the car on the pretext of asking her the way of Belao.
Further, by threatening her, they snatched her golden ornaments
and dropped her from the car and went away from there.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the FIR and it transpired during the course of investigation that the petitioner has been made accused in this case based on the confessional statement of co-accused person namely, Rajesh Kumar. It is next submitted that the petitioner has also confessed his guilt in the present occurrence and police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet and the petitioner is in custody since 11.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the informant, on the other hand, have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submit that looted ring has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Apart from the aforesaid, the petitioner has two antecedents other than the present case but fairly submit that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda at Biharsharif in connection with Rajgir P.S. Case No. 632 of 2025, subject to the following



conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

