

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7204 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-300 Year-2025 Thana- NARHATT District- Nawada

1. Gorelal Chauhan S/o- Late Chhotelal Chauhan Village- Punaol PS- Narhat District- Nawada
2. Sakaldev Chauhan S/o- Late Chhotelal Chauhan Village- Punaol Ps- Narhat District- Nawada
3. Milmilahya Devi @ Lal Priya Devi @ Lal Pari Devi @ Kari @ Milmilya Devi W/o- Late Chhotelal Chauhan Village- Punaol Ps- Narhat District- Nawada
4. Geeta Devi @ Piariya Devi w/o- Sakaldev Chauhan Village- Punaol Ps- Narhat District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Prakash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard Mr. Ravi Prakash, learned counsel for the
petitioners and the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Narahat P.S. Case No. 300 of 2025 instituted under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 110, 303(2), 74, 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S. lodged on 13.09.2025 by the informant, Lakhiya Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that she is serving as 'Anganbari Sahayeka' in Ward No. 07 and as she was going to the center, the accused persons brutally assaulted her and also took away money. This led to the FIR.



4. Earlier, this Court called for the case diary and injury report which are now on record and the opinion of the Community Health Center, Narahat, Nawada is that four injuries were found on the person of the informant and the 4th injury has been recorded as grievous in nature. Two of the petitioners are also having criminal antecedent.

5. Though learned counsel for the petitioners tried to show innocence submitting that there is land dispute between the parties, learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that the lady working in the 'Anganbari', intercepted, assaulted and the injuries have been found to be grievous in nature.

6. In that background, it would be appropriate that the petitioners seek bail.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

8. If the petitioners surrender within a period of four weeks from today, the Court concerned shall take up the matter and dispose it of preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

