

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5007 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2025 Thana- MOHANPUR District- Samastipur

Ravi Kumar Pandit @ Ravi Ranjan S/O Umed Lal Pandit R/O Village- Sarari,
P.S- Mohanpur, Distt.- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Praween Kumar, Advocate Mr. Kavish Kumar Patel, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav, Advocate Mr. Prabhu Narayan Prabhakar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 103(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per F.I.R., it is alleged that due to old land dispute, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, called informant's father and mother to settle the matter through *panchayati* and in course of *panchayati* between the parties, there was hot talk between informant's father and co-accused Umed Lal Pandit and thereafter, all the accused



persons dragged them in the house and brutally assaulted. It is specifically alleged that co-accused Umed Lal Pandit pressed the neck of informant's father, whereas co-accused Indu Bhushan Pandit assaulted on the chest of informant's father with brick and this petitioner, along with co-accused Uma Shankar Pandit and Arun Pandit were catching hands & legs of informant's father, on account of which, father of informant died on spot and informant's mother sustained some injuries.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. As a matter of fact, on account of long standing land dispute, petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that from bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that this petitioner is not alleged to have assaulted the deceased. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that all the accused persons assaulted father and mother of informant due to which father of informant died on the spot. This petitioner caught hold of hands of father of the



informant and thereby, facilitated in commission of the offence.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

