

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5638 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-198 Year-2024 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

Gulten Sah S/O Late Domi Sah Resident of Triveniganj, Ward No. 05, Police  
Station - Triveniganj (Nagar Parishad), District - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Meena Devi W/O Bhuvneshwar Sharma R/O Village- Motipur, Ward No. 06, P.S- Karjain, Distt.- Suapaul, At present residing at Raghobpur Manohar Chhatravas, P.S- Rodhopur, Distt.- Supaul

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                  |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.Arun, Advocate                |
| For the informant        | : | Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate |
|                          |   | Mr. Avisha Sinha, Advocate       |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr.Sucheta Yadav, A.P.P.         |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3      03-04-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP  
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
RB(POCSO)-100/2025/POCSO Case No. 122 of 2024, arising  
out of Raghobpur P.S. Case No. 198 of 2024, instituted for the  
offences under Sections 342, 344, 376 and 504 of the Indian  
Penal Code and 04 of the POCSO Act.

3. Earlier vide order dated 17.02.2025 passed in Cr.  
Misc. No. 80424 of 2024, regular bail of the petitioner was  
rejected by this Court considering the nature and gravity of the  
offence as also taking into account the statement of the victim



recorded u/s 164 of the Cr.P.C., with direction to the learned Court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

4. In compliance of the order dated 29.01.2026, a report dated 06.02.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that Charge has been framed against the petitioner on 22.01.2025. It is further reported that out of 11 witnesses, 06 witnesses, including victim, informant, one non-official witness and three medical officers have been examined, cross-examined and discharged. The trial would be concluded within 03-04 months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 20.07.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously



preferably within a period of three months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of three months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

manish/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

