

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5560 of 2026

In
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65158 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2025 Thana- Nawalpur District- West Champaran

1. Manish Kumar son of Pramod Prasad Resident of village- Rupwaliya Chandraha PS -Nawalpur District -West Champaran
2. Sandesh Kumar Son of Badhu Yadav Resident of village- Rupwaliya Chandraha PS -Nawalpur District -West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar Gupta

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Meena Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were granted the privilege of provisional anticipatory bail by an order dated 7-1-2026 in Cr. Misc No. 65158 of 2025 with a condition that the learned trial court after accepting provisional bail bonds of the petitioners shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event if it is found that petitioners have more antecedent than what was pleaded at para-3 in Cr. Misc No. 65158 of 2025, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail bonds shall not be accepted. It is next submitted that in Cr. Misc No. 65158 of 2025 at para-3 it was correctly pleaded that petitioner no. 2 is a person with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 1 has antecedent of one case, but in the order dated 7-1-2026 in Cr. Misc No. 65158 of 2025, it was



inadvertently recorded that petitioner no. 1 is a person with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 2 has antecedent of one case.

3. After perusing para 3 of Cr. Misc No. 65158 of 2025, the order dated 7-1-2026 is modified to read as --

“petitioner No. 1 has antecedent of one case and petitioner no. 2 is a person with clean antecedent, further the learned trial court after accepting the provisional anticipatory bail bonds shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event if it is found that petitioner No. 1 has antecedent of more than one case and petitioner no. 2 has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner No. 1 has antecedent of one case and petitioner no. 2 is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.”

4. The order dated 7-1-2026 in Cr. Misc No. 65158 of 2025 is modified to the extent indicated above. Since the time of surrender has not expired as such the same is not being extended.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

