

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4340 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-281 Year-2025 Thana- KASBA District- Purnia

Ranjeet Karkatta Son of Late Jagava Urown Resident of Village- Chandan
Nagar Basantpur, Ward No. 36, P.S.- Sadar, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Bipin Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 28-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and
learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 64, 308(2), 76, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that informant,
who is a married woman, alleged that she came in contact with
this petitioner before marriage and under the false pretext of
marriage, this petitioner established physical relations with the
informant and later on, refused to solemnize marriage. It is
further alleged that petitioner also took obscene photographs of



the informant and blackmailed her to make them viral and also demanded money. It is also alleged that this petitioner assaulted the informant and forcibly established physical relations with her.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. The entire prosecution case, as set out in the F.I.R., is out and out false, fabricated and concocted. As a matter of fact, from bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that both parties knew each other since long and with the passage of time, relationship developed. At the time when the relationship developed, both of them were major and were fully aware of the consequences of such a relationship. The relationship was consensual between two consenting adults and both of them enjoyed each others company for two years. It is further submitted that only because the relationship could not continue and failed, the same does not amount to rape. Rest of the allegations are ornamental in order to make the case grave. Informant is a married lady aged about 31 years and is also mother of two children. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.



5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that on the false pretext of marriage, this petitioner established physical relations with the informant and later on, refused to solemnize marriage and also assaulted and abused her and blackmailed to make her obscene photographs viral.

6. Considering the rival submissions advanced on behalf of learned counsel for the parties and the fact that from bare perusal of the prosecution case it is apparent that at the time when the relationship developed between the parties, both of them were major and enjoyed each others' company for two years, the same cannot be said to be inducted or involuntary and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate,



Purnea in connection with Kasba P.S. Case No. 281 of 2025,
subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the
B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

