

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7822 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-300 Year-2023 Thana- VAISHALI District- Vaishali

=====

Amod Paswan @ Amod Kumar S/o Pakauri Paswan R/o Village- Nagwan,
P.S- Belsar O.P, Dist- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar
- 2. XXX D/O YYY R/o Village- Nagwan, P.S- Belsar O.P, Dist- Vaishali.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Ajit Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

- 318-03-2026
1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Belsar O.P. (Vaishali) P.S Case no. 300 of 2023, registered under section 376 of the Indian Penal Code and section 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the fifteen year old informant states that finding her alone in the house, the petitioner entered into her house, overpowered her and committed rape. On her raising *hulla*, her uncle and aunt came on which the petitioner escaped.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the earlier prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 21.3.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. no. 1308 of 2024 and



lastly by order dated 10.1.2025 passed in Cr.Misc. no. 83693 of 2024. The petitioner has remained in custody since 17.7.2023 and undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. A report was called for from the learned trial Court. As per the report received, examination of prosecution witnesses is going on and four witnesses have been examined out of the five witnesses named in the charge sheet. The report further states that other than the charge sheet witnesses, three other witnesses have also been examined. Only the doctor remains to be examined.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR, the progress in the trial in the learned trial Court wherein seven witnesses have been examined and only the doctor remains to be examined, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

8. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. The Superintendent of Police Vaishali shall ensure the appearance of the doctor for his deposition in the trial in the learned trial Court at the earliest.



10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the
Superintendent of Police, Vaishali for its due compliance.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Bibhash

U		T	
---	--	---	--

