

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2116 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-131 Year-2025 Thana- SIKTA District- West Champaran

Najrana Khatoon @ Najran Khatoon Wife of Arshad Gauhar Resident of village - Jhumka, Police Station - Sikta, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 6064 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-131 Year-2025 Thana- SIKTA District- West Champaran

Abdul Haque @ Bhutti S/o Shaikh Samshul @ Samasul Saikh R/o Village- Jhumka, Police station- Sikta, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 2116 of 2026)

For the Petitioner : Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Arun Kumar, APP

For the Informant : Mr. Aditya Nath Jha, Advocate

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 6064 of 2026)

For the Petitioner : Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, APP

For the Informant : Mr. Aditya Nath Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. Since both these pre-arrest bail petitions arise out of the same P.S. case, they are being taken up together and



disposed of by this common order.

3. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 87 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

4. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, kidnapped minor daughter of informant.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that these petitioners are only alleged to have assisted co-accused Gulab Sheikh in kidnapping of the victim. During investigation, the victim was recovered and in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., she denied the *factum* of kidnapping and has categorically stated that she, out of her own sweet will, stayed with co-accused Gulab Sheikh and co-accused Gulab Sheikh had not committed any wrong with her. The victim has not alleged anything wrong against these petitioners.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

8. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Bettiah, District- West Champaran in connection with Sikta P.S. Case No. 131 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

