

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-155 Year-2023 Thana- GWALPARA District- Madhepura

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-155 Year-2023 Thana- GWALPARA District- Madhepura

Sonu Kumar S/o Kailash Yadav R/o Village- Defra, PS- Gwalpara (Arar OP),
District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Vaishali, Adv
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh, Adv
Mr. Akash Vardhan, Adv
Mr. Mohit Ranjan, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr. Ajeet Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms.
Vaishali and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 393 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code as well as Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Vaishali, submits that this is her maiden appearance and if any mistake is committed during the course of argument, the same be condoned by the sweet temper of the Hon'ble Bench.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that while he was returning to his house on his



motorcycle when he was intercepted by four accused persons and they snatched his motorcycle on gun point and at the same time, one of the miscreants fired causing injury, on hearing the sound of firing, villagers gathered when three miscreants managed to escape from the spot and one accused was caught by the villagers on chase, thereafter police came and informant was taken to hospital.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner had earlier moved before this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 72025 of 2025 and the same came to be rejected by an order dated 1-11-2025. It is next submitted that petitioner is in custody since 10-11-2025 and the charge-sheet has been submitted. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and his name transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended-accused in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that petitioner is a young boy aged about 20 years and if his incarceration is prolonged, chances are bright that he may come in contact with hardened criminals. It is next submitted that if privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the trial to prove his innocence.



6. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Gwalpara (Arar O.P) P.S. Case No. 155 of 2023.

8. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father, Kailash Yadav.

9. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that the petitioner after his release is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

