

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5425 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-256 Year-2023 Thana- SINDHIYA District- Samastipur

Kaushal Kishore Singh @ Murari Singh @ Kaushal Kishore Sardendu Son of
Late Lalit Prasad Singh Resident of Village- Basudewa Sanhauli Ward No. 9,
P.S.- Singhia, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Choudhary Shyam Nandan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Ms. Sweta Suman, Advocate
		Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, on 12.10.2023, all the
F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, along
with two other unknown persons, came and fired upon father of
informant due to which, during course of treatment, he died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has



committed no offence. As a matter of fact, on account of long standing land dispute between the parties, petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along with co-accused Kalyan Kishore, shot father of informant due to which, during course of treatment, he died.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and the fact the petitioner is assailant of the deceased, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

