

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6096 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-355 Year-2025 Thana- MADANPUR District- Aurangabad

1. Santosh Kumar @ Nagwa Son of Pravesh Mahato Resident of Village- Karpatai, P.S.- Madanpur, District- Aurangabad.
2. Dinesh Kumar Yadav Son of Naryan Yadav Resident of Village- Karpatai, P.S.- Madanpur, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Binod Kumar Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate
		Mr. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Madanpur P.S. Case no.355 of 2025, registered under section 190, 191(2), 329(4), 115(2), 126(2), 117(2), 109, 303(2) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that the five named accused persons including the two petitioners herein came variously armed. Dinesh Yadav is said to have assaulted the father-in-law of the informant causing



injury. All the accused persons are said to have assaulted the informant and the members of her family. The informant further names ten other accused persons who participated in the assault.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case. So far as allegations in the F.I.R. are concerned, the same are falsified from the injury report, contents of which finds mention in the order of the learned Court below wherein the father-in-law of the informant is said to have sustained fracture in his hand. It is submitted that the injury report does not support the assault by 15 named accused persons. No other injury has been found nor mentioned in the order. The petitioners have remained in custody since 27.9.2025 and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations in the F.I.R., the discussion with respect to the injury report which finds mention in the order of the learned trial Court, the petitioners having remained in custody since 27.9.2025 and charge-sheet having been submitted in the case, both the petitioners are directed to



be enlarged on bail in connection with Madanpur P.S. Case no.355 of 2025, on each of them furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

