

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4748 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-164 Year-2025 Thana- BOKHRA District- Sitamarhi

1. Md. Firoz Sah S/o Late Maksoood Shah @ Late Masoom Shah R/o Village-Pokhraira Ushha Mohalla Ward No.5, @ Pokhraira Usra Mohalla Ward No.5, @ Pokhraira Ushha Tola Ward No.5, P.S- Bokhra, Dist- Sitamarhi.
2. Sahani Khatoon @ Sohani Khatoon W/o Md. Firoz Sah R/o Village-Pokhraira Ushha Mohalla Ward No.5, @ Pokhraira Usra Mohalla Ward No.5, @ Pokhraira Ushha Tola Ward No.5, P.S- Bokhra, Dist- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Bokhra P.S. Case No. 164 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80(1), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that Zeba Khatoon (deceased) was married to one Dilshad Sah. It is further alleged that after marriage, she was being subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand of washing machine, freeze and Rs. 2 lakhs in cash and she was threatened of dire consequences. On 09.09.2025, the deceased was administered poison by her in-laws due to which she died.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased. The main thrust of allegation is against the husband. He also submits that there was a separation in the family and both the petitioners were living separately with the husband of the deceased. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioners have no criminal antecedent and they are languishing in judicial custody since 22.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Pupri, Sitamarhi/Competent Jurisdiction in connection with Bokhra P.S. Case No. 164 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

