

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4352 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2025 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

=====

Rohit Ram @ Rohit Kumar Ram @ Rohit Kumar Son of Vikash Ram @
Bikash Ram Resident of Village- Shekhpora, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 193 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 132, 109, 121(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 27, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on secret information, police raided village Shekhpora to arrest absconder Bharat Singh @ Chandrashekhar, during which the accused persons allegedly fired at the police and were apprehended, and arms, cartridges and mobile phones were seized from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the



petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that no incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He further contended that the arms and ammunitions have been recovered on the basis of statement of the co-accused person. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 24.03.2025 and has two criminal antecedents. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 02.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 80488 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 193 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

