

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.274 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3374 of 2022

=====

Satto Raut, S/o Late Gore Lal Raut, R/o Betwan Bazar, Argara Road, P.S. -
Kotwali, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Abhay Kumar Singh the Principal Secretary,
Urban Development Dept. Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Avnish Kumar Singh, the District Magistrate, Munger.
3. Smt. Kumkum Devi, the Chairman, Munger Municipal Corporation,
Munger.
4. Sri Kumar Abhishek, the Municipal Commissioner, Munger Municipal
Corporation, Munger.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anjan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sinha, AC to AAG- 7
For the Corporation	:	Mr. K. N. Sahay, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-06-2026

Heard Mr. Anjan Singh, learned Advocate for the
petitioner and Mr. K. N. Sahay, learned Advocate for the
Municipal Corporation, Munger. The State is represented
through Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sinha, learned Advocate.

2. The present application has been filed seeking
initiation of contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for
their willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this



Court dated 30.07.2024 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 3374 of 2022.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that in compliance with the direction of this Court to ensure payment of differential amount of 5th/ 6th Pay Revision as well as provident fund to the petitioner, it has been paid only with effect from July, 2024, though the petitioner retired on 30.06.2016.

4. Learned Advocate for the Municipal Corporation submits that since the Corporation has taken a conscious decision to extend such benefit uniformly to all its retired employees with effect from July, 2024, accordingly, the admissible payment has been accorded to the petitioner.

5. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that the petitioner has already been paid the arrears of difference of salary and pension on account of 5th / 6th Pay Revision, this Court does not find any reason to continue with the present proceeding. Accordingly, the same stands closed.

6. However, if the petitioner is aggrieved with respect to the arrears of salary/pension for the interregnum period, he shall be at liberty to file application and the same shall be considered by the authority concerned and necessary



communication in this regard shall be made to the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	22.06.2026
Transmission Date	NA

