

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5121 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-53 Year-2025 Thana- BARIYARPUR District- Munger

1. Aman Kumar Son of Dayanand Yadav Resident of Village -Chiraibad PS -Bariarpur Dist- Munger
2. Dilkhush @ Prince Kumar son of Dayanand Yadav Resident of Village -Chiraibad PS -Bariarpur Dist- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Ms. Shalini Raje, Advocate
For the State	:	Md. Aslam Ansari, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Jyoti Ranjan Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 113(2), 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 324(4), 324(5), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, assaulted his wife, snatched gold chain from her neck and cash of Rs. 10,000/- from the house over a family dispute with regard to electricity connection. He further alleged that all the accused persons, with intention to kill, assaulted him with iron rod,



causing grievous injuries to him.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. As a matter of fact, both parties are close relatives of each other and due to petty dispute with regard to electricity connection, *maar-peet* took place, in which, both sides sustained injuries. There is case and counter case. Allegation of assault is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against these petitioners. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused persons have already been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 17.09.2025 passed in *Cr. Misc. No. 64889 of 2025*.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, case and counter-case and claim based on parity, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. II, Munger in connection with Bariarpur P.S. Case No. 53 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

