

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8389 of 2017

=====

Anjani Kumar Singh S/o Late Dashrathi Saran Singh, resident of village &
A.O. Bhagwanpur Bhagwanpur District Kaimur (Bhabhua Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1.The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary Department of Land
Revenue, Govt. of Bihar Patna.
 2. The Chairman, Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna
 - 3.The collector, Kaimur at Bhabhua
 4. The D.C.L.R. Kaimur at Bhabhua
 5. The Circle Officer, Bhaganpur
 6. Sunaina Devi w/o Raju Ram resident of village-Saraya, P.O. Umapur, P.S.-
Anchal Bhagwanpur, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua Respondent/s
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sajid Salim Khan-Sc25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 16-02-2026 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the

State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for quashing/setting aside the common
order dated 13.1.2017 passed in BLT case no.
877 of 2015 by Hon'ble Chairman, The Bihar
Land Tribunal, Patna whereby and where
under she has dismissed the BLT case no. 877
of 2015;*

*(ii) for setting aside the order dated
01.07.2015 passed by District Magistrate,
Kaimur at Bhabhua in mutation revision case
no. 05/2014-15 whereby and where under he
has allowed the aforesaid revision case after*



*setting aside the order dated 28.01.2014
passed in mutation Appeal No.- 71 of 2013-14
passed by D.C.L.R. Bhabhua along with other
appeal and order passed by the Circle Officer,
Kaimur at Bhabhua in mutation case no. 743 /
2013-14 filed by private respondent no-6 been
affirmed;*

*(iii) for upholding the order dated 28.01.2014
passed by D.C.L.R. Bhabhua in mutation
Appeal No- 71 of 2013-14;*

*(iv) for granting any other relief/reliefs for
which the petitioner may be found entitled in
the facts and circumstances of the case..*

3. The record shows that on 24.09.2024 also when the coordinate bench was hearing the matter, on call, there was no appearance. It seems the petitioner has lost interest in the case.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

