

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5486 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-41 Year-2024 Thana- GHURNA District- Araria

=====

Washique Alam @ Vasik Alam @ Tarikul Islam @ Tarikul @ Vasik @ Wasik
S/O Abdul Khalik @ Abdul Khalek R/O Vill.- Basmatiya Tola Bara Babuwan,
Ward no. 10, P.S- Basmatiya, Dist- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudhanshu Kumar Lal, Advocate
Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 11-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with NDPS
Case No. 46 of 2025 arising out of Ghurna P.S. Case No. 41 of
2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 8/20(b)(ii)(B) of
the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. Earlier vide order dated 05.02.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 4583 of 2025, regular bail of the petitioner was
rejected by this Court considering the quantity of recovered
contraband to be more than commercial quantity.

4. In compliance of the order dated 29.01.2026 a report
13.02.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been
received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that
trial is at the stage of evidence and three witnesses out of four



charge-sheeted witnesses have already been examined. It is further reported that trial is likely to conclude in the next three months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 21.08.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of two months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

