

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5103 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2025 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

-
1. AMIT KUMAR @ WAKEEL YADAV Son of Deva Yadav
 2. Deva Yadav Son of Late Tetar Yadav
 3. Satyendra Yadav Son of Late Manki Yadav
 4. Sandeep Yadav @ Sandeep Kumar Son of Satyendra Yadav
 5. Pramod Yadav @ Pramod Kumar Son of Satyendra Yadav
 6. Dilip Yadav Son of Late Ratan Yadav

All are Resident of Village - Bisun Bigha, P.S.- Imamganj, District - Gaya Ji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nafisu Zzoha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 06-04-2026 Heard Mr. Nafisu Zzoha, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Binod Kumar,
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 189/2025 registered for the
offence(s) punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2),



115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352 and 351(3) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused persons named therein including the petitioners had assaulted the informant and his family members with an intention to kill, causing injuries to them.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and there is case and counter case between the parties. Learned counsel further submitted that to buy peace of mind, the case has been compromised between the parties and a duly sworn joint compromise petition dated 04.07.2025 has also been filed before the learned District Court. The compromise petition has been brought on record by way of Annexure 4.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties and upon perusal of the allegations made in the FIR, as well as, paragraph 13 of the bail application, wherein specific information has been given that the petitioners and the informant have settled their dispute and in this regard, they have arrived at a mutual settlement on terms and conditions as contained in settlement dated 04.07.2025 and a duly sworn joint



compromise petition has also been filed before the learned District Court and also considering the law laid down by the Apex Court in case of *Naushey Ali vs. State of U.P.*, reported in, **(2025) 4 SCC 78**, the petitioners are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M.F.C. Sherghati (Gaya) / Concerned Court in connection with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 189/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

9. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

