

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5870 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-125 Year-2025 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

Ranvir Yadav S/o Late Bhujangi Yadav R/o vill - Rohiyar, P.S.- Mansi, Distt.-
Khagaria, Bihar

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Brajesh Sahay, Advocate Ms.Seema Srivastava, Advocate Ms.Amrita Kumari, Advocate Ms.Harshita, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The accused-petitioner, named in the F.I.R., is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 125 of 2025, G.R. No. 1535/2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 352, 308(2), 351(2) and 351(3), 308(5) and 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (in short, the 'B.N.S.') and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is to demand ransom money from the informant who was a government contractor in Maa Katyayani temple and was engaged in



construction work under the project of Tourism Department, Government of Bihar.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that thrust of allegation appears against co-accused Bharat Yadav and even as per FIR, cash of Rs. 20,000/- was received by him during course of occurrence. It is submitted that as petitioner is related with Bharat Yadav he was implicated with the present case. It is pointed out that the confusion between the parties now appears resolved and the dispute and differences also compromised for which a compromise petition jointly signed by the parties was filed before the court concerned, district – Khagaria. Petitioner claimed clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. In view of the aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of the fact as thrust of allegation is available against Bharat Yadav, where matter now appears compromised between the parties, coupled with the fact that petitioner is a man of clean antecedent, accordingly, above-



named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of four weeks from this order, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate - 1st Class, Khagaria/concerned court in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 125 of 2025, G.R. No. 1535/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C/Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (in short “B.N.S.S.”).

7. It is made clear that learned trial court must verify the criminal antecedent of this petitioner and if he found indulged in any criminal case contrary to his affidavit/ submission, the bail bond of the petitioner shall not be accepted.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

