

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4396 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2025 Thana- DANIYAWAN District- Patna

1. Rukmini Devi @ Rukminiya Devi Wife of Ashok Gope @ Ashok Gop
Resident of village - Pir Barhauna, P.S.- Daniyawon, District - Patna.
2. Laxmi Devi Wife of Upendra Gope Resident of village - Pir Barhauna, P.S.-
Danyawan, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhola Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the
petitioners, apprehending their arrest, in connection with
Danyawan P.S. Case No. 220 of 2025 dated 26.09.2025,
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 306(2),
318(4) and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per allegation, the petitioners persuaded the
informant to part with her ornaments stating that they needed
money and after getting the ornaments from the informant, they
placed the ornaments to a shopkeeper and when the informant
demanded the money back, the same is not being paid by the



petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the Petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the falsity of the case is apparent from the content of FIR itself. On the one hand, the informant is saying that her ornaments were fraudulently obtained from her, but in the later part of the FIR, she is saying that when she demanded the money, the petitioners have not given the money back. He further submits that as a matter of fact, the petitioners have given some friendly loan to the informant and when they demanded the loan back, she has filed the false criminal case.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners have not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the petition that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents.

7. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioners for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioners above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the



date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Concerned Court below, in connection with Daniyawan P.S. Case No. 220 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioners have any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners after hearing them and getting satisfied that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents despite their knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

