

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4300 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2189 Year-2024 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Araria

Kumod Yadav @ Kumod Kumar Yadav S/o Birendra Yadav Resident of
village - Raharia Manulahpatti, ward no. 9, P.S.- Bhargama, Distt.- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dimpal Kumari D/o Sikandra Yadav, W/o Kumod Yadav R/o vill - Indarpur,
ward no. 2, P.S.- Raniganj, Distt.- Araria

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties concerned.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 2189 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 85 and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the marriage of the complainant was solemnized with the petitioner on 28.04.2021, and at the time of marriage, Rs. 5,51,000/- cash along with other household articles was given. After marriage, the complainant went to her matrimonial home and was blessed with a son. After some time, the husband and in-laws of the complainant started harassing her by demanding Rs. 1,00,000/-,



a motorcycle, and a buffalo as dowry. On 30.10.2024, she was assaulted and attempt was made to burn her, after which she somehow saved her life and went to her parental home.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. However, without prejudice to the right and contention of the petitioner, and in order to maintain harmony in the family, the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 as living cost, subject to the final outcome of the present case as well as the matrimonial case, if any, pending and/or decided between the parties.

5. Learned Counsel for the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2 accepts the offer so made by learned Counsel for the petitioner and submits that O.P. No. 2 is at the verge of starvation and the petitioner may be directed to deposit the said amount of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2, details of which shall be furnished by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 to learned Counsel for the petitioner within ten days from today.

6. After having heard learned Counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the



fact that the offer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner has been accepted by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. This application is, accordingly, allowed.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on bail, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Araria, in connection with Complaint Case No. 2189 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. as well as the following condition:-

(i) that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month in bank account of the Opposite Party No. 2 positively by the 7th day of every month starting from the month of May, 2026.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

